IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

CADRIOUS DASHUN BATTS §

v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:23-CV-27-RWS-JBB

WARDEN SALMONSON §

ORDER

Before the Court is Petitioner Cadrious Dashun Batts' petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 challenging the legality of his conviction. Docket No. 1. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge J. Boone Baxter in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636.

On November 25, 2024, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Respondent's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 5) be granted and that the above application for the writ of habeas corpus be dismissed with prejudice. Docket No. 6. On November 25, 2024, a copy of the Report and Recommendation was sent to Petitioner at his last known address, return receipt requested. The deadline for objections has passed but no objections have been received. The Fifth Circuit has explained that where a letter is properly placed in the United States mail, a presumption exists that the letter reached its destination in the usual time and was actually received by the person to whom it was addressed. *Faciane v. Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada*, 931 F.3d 412, 420–21 and n.9 (5th Cir. 2019).

Because no objections have been received, Petitioner is barred from *de novo* review by the District Judge of the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendations. Moreover, except upon grounds of plain error an aggrieved party is barred from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the District

Court. See Duarte v. City of Lewisville, Texas, 858 F.3d 348, 352 (5th Cir. 2017); Arriaga v.

Laxminarayan, Case No. 4:21-CV-00203- RAS, 2021 WL 3287683, at *1 (E.D. Tex. July 31,

2021).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in the above-captioned case and the Report of

the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined the Report of the

Magistrate Judge is correct. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989)

(where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is

"clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law"). Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 6) is **ADOPTED** as

the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED the Respondent's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 5) is **GRANTED**. It is

further

ORDERED the above-captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED**

WITH PREJUDICE.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 21st day of February, 2025.

Robert W. SCHROEDER III

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE